



---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

*Managed Care Strategy &  
Aligning your ASC with Physicians*

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

*Managed care contracts are the single most important factor in  
determining top-line and ultimately bottom-line success*

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

## Managed Care Strategy

---

*How do you Partner with your Physicians for Success in Contracting?*

- Alignment of ASC and Professional contract...

*Why is this so important?*

- In network continuity across all providers
- Motivates payors to consider contracting with the ASC

## Managed Care Strategy

---

*What about Anesthesiologists?*

- Payors do not want “hidden” providers servicing members
- Increased requirements for Anesthesiologist to be contracted with Payors under agreement with the ASC
- ASC contract may have penalties or be subject to termination if Anesthesiologist is not contracted with Payor

## Managed Care Strategy

---

*Identifying the needs of the Partner Physicians*

- What is the strategy for dealing with small volume payors?
- How does this impact contracting when a Physician partner requests a contract to bring certain cases?

*Remain mindful of Rates, Terms and Value of contract*

- How do you define value?
- Access to volume can impact rate & future negotiations
- Smaller payors may be vulnerable to acquisition by larger payor

## How Physician Partners can Positively Influence ASC Contracting

*Gather and summarize contract list of all physician partners and users of ASC...*

- Which Payors are common to all parties?
- Which Payors are unique or do not have contracts with all parties?
- Which Payors are most important to shift patients to the ASC?

## Physician Involvement

*Why and when is Physician Partner Involvement Useful?*

- Expansion of services requiring restructure of contracts
- New services not approved by payor; need physician team leader to meet with Payor Medical Director
- Provide payor with coordinated outcomes data
- Confirm volumes that will move out of hospital setting
- Assist ASC with obtaining a contract when payor is non responsive
- Use the leverage of the group, if evident, to motivate the payor to conduct business with the ASC

## Physician Involvement

*What to communicate when Payor is Unresponsive...*

- Outline the professional history and relationships of physicians using the ASC
- Highlight physicians' services and involvement in the local medical community
- Opportunity for volume shift from hospital thru global case rates or other solutions that may not be common in the market

## Physician Involvement

*Steps to actively and positively participate in the process...*

- Identify physician leaders
- Utilize physician data to create a business case
- ASC may wish to provide sample dialogue / scripts for physicians to use in communication with payors

*Changing Payment Systems & Their Impact on Negotiations*

## Changing Payor Environment

### National Observations

- Payors are aggressive and making changes
- Payment system modifications by CMS create opportunities for commercial payors
- Commercial payors seek to control and reduce cost through payment system changes
- Payors are removing incremental reimbursement for implants by transitioning to APC based methodologies
- Payors seeking transparency on rates with respect to cost structure

## Payment System Review

### APCs

- Modified version of APGs - enhanced classification of codes based upon cost
- APC is the system used to compensate HOPDs otherwise known as the Outpatient Prospective Payment System (OPPS)
- Implants are included in payment weight and rate
- Device intensive codes include allocation for device; these are based upon HOPD cost reporting to CMS

### Standard Methodology Rate Calculation:

$$(\text{APC weight} * \text{Area Adjustment}) * (\text{CF}) = \text{Reimbursement Rate}$$

## Payment System Review

### APCs

- APC rates transitioned over four year period: 2008 - 2011
- January 2011- Fully transitioned payment rates in effect for ASCs
- Create opportunity for commercial payors to change payment systems
- What's good for CMS is good for the commercial payor!
- What's good for CMS may or may not be good for your ASC!

## ASC Medicare Rate Change Over Time 2007-2011

Comparison of ASC Medicare 2007, 2010, and 2011 ASC National Payment Rates

CPT / HCPCS Code	Description	2007 Group	2007 Medicare Group Rate	2010 National Rate	2011 National Rate	Payment Increase / (Decrease) (2007-2011)	Percent of Change	Payment Increase / (Decrease) (2010-2011)	Percent of Change
29841	Knee arthroscopy/surgery	2	\$ 620.00	\$ 1,040.41	\$ 1,161.03	\$ 541.03	87.26%	\$ 120.62	10.7%
29848	ACL	2	\$ 510.00	\$ 3,782.32	\$ 3,447.64	\$ 2,937.64	576.0%	\$ 665.32	23.9%
29807	Shoulder Arthroscopy	2	\$ 510.00	\$ 1,580.87	\$ 1,876.83	\$ 1,466.83	286.0%	\$ 289.96	18.3%
29827	Arthroscopic rotator cuff repair	5	\$ 717.00	\$ 1,630.33	\$ 1,876.83	\$ 1,159.83	161.6%	\$ 249.50	14.7%
29892	Ankle arthroscopy/surgery	1	\$ 510.00	\$ 3,782.32	\$ 3,447.64	\$ 2,937.64	576.0%	\$ 665.32	23.9%
40520	Repair of nasal septum	4	\$ 630.00	\$ 899.68	\$ 993.66	\$ 363.66	57.7%	\$ 93.98	10.4%
41235	Removal of ethmoid sinus	5	\$ 717.00	\$ 1,645.75	\$ 1,198.96	\$ 481.96	67.2%	\$ 149.21	14.5%
42830	Removal of adenoids	2	\$ 630.00	\$ 899.68	\$ 993.66	\$ 363.66	57.7%	\$ 93.98	10.4%
49529	Repair initial, partial hernia	2	\$ 630.00	\$ 1,109.88	\$ 1,261.99	\$ 631.99	100.4%	\$ 171.71	15.4%
49535	Repair, umbilical hernia	2	\$ 630.00	\$ 1,109.88	\$ 1,261.99	\$ 631.99	100.4%	\$ 171.71	15.5%
53005	Cystoscopy & ureter catheter	2	\$ 446.00	\$ 872.49	\$ 1,020.24	\$ 574.24	128.8%	\$ 147.75	16.9%
52201	Cystoscopy w/hyperp	2	\$ 446.00	\$ 872.49	\$ 1,020.24	\$ 574.24	128.8%	\$ 147.75	16.9%
52290	Cystoscopy and treatment	2	\$ 446.00	\$ 872.49	\$ 1,020.24	\$ 574.24	128.8%	\$ 147.75	16.9%
57288	Repair bladder defect	5	\$ 717.00	\$ 1,521.44	\$ 1,758.70	\$ 1,041.70	145.3%	\$ 237.26	15.6%
58550	Laparoscopy w/g hysterectomy	9	\$ 1,350.00	\$ 2,509.29	\$ 2,754.57	\$ 1,415.57	105.7%	\$ 245.18	9.8%
64721	Cervical tunnel surgery	2	\$ 446.00	\$ 668.34	\$ 741.26	\$ 295.26	66.2%	\$ 77.92	10.9%
69446	Chase ear drum opening	2	\$ 510.00	\$ 657.65	\$ 691.76	\$ 181.76	35.7%	\$ 34.11	5.2%

## ASC Medicare Rate Changes 2011 - 2012

Comparison of ASC Medicare 2011 and Proposed 2012 ASC National Payment Rates					
CPT / HCPCS Code	Short Descriptor	Esia Procedure Category	2011 National ASC Rate	Proposed 2012 National ASC Rate	Payment Increase / (Decrease) (2011-2012) Percent of Change
90520	Repair of nasal septum	ENT	\$ 993.66	\$ 997.35	\$ 3.69 0.4%
91255	Removal of ethmoid sinus	ENT	\$ 1,198.96	\$ 1,199.07	\$ 0.11 0.0%
42826	Removal of tonsils	ENT	\$ 993.66	\$ 997.35	\$ 3.69 0.4%
69714	Implant temple bone w/stimul	ENT - Cochlear/Baha Devices	\$ 7,068.23	\$ 7,498.30	\$ 430.07 6.1%
69505	Prep (hem init reduc <5 yr	General Surg - Hernia Repair	\$ 1,281.59	\$ 1,308.26	\$ 26.67 2.1%
47562	Laparoscopic cholecystectomy	General Surg - Lap Chole	\$ 1,853.68	\$ 1,893.15	\$ 39.47 2.1%
43239	Upper gi endoscopy biopsy	GI/Colo-Rectal	\$ 344.10	\$ 332.43	\$ (11.67) -3.4%
45378	Diagnostic colonoscopy	GI/Colo-Rectal	\$ 361.93	\$ 369.70	\$ 7.77 2.1%
45380	Colonoscopy and biopsy	GI/Colo-Rectal	\$ 361.93	\$ 369.70	\$ 7.77 2.1%
29888	Knee arthroscopy/surgery	Ortho - ACL/PCL	\$ 3,447.64	\$ 3,474.22	\$ 26.58 0.8%
29881	Knee arthroscopy/surgery	Ortho - Arthros	\$ 1,161.03	\$ 1,167.37	\$ 6.34 0.5%
29827	Arthroscop rotator cuff repr	Ortho - Arthros	\$ 1,876.83	\$ 1,922.43	\$ 45.60 2.4%
64483	Inj foramen epidural l/s	Pain Management	\$ 294.00	\$ 299.46	\$ 5.46 1.9%
63211	Injct spine l/s (cd)	Pain Management	\$ 294.00	\$ 299.46	\$ 5.46 1.9%
57288	Repair bladder defect	Urology - Sling Procs	\$ 1,758.70	\$ 1,808.45	\$ 49.75 2.8%

## Implant Methodology Review

### Implant Reimbursement Methodologies

- 1) Payment equal to invoice cost
- 2) Payment equal to invoice cost + x %
- 3) Payment equal to x % of billed charges equivalent to cost
- 4) Payment equal to x % of billed charges equivalent to cost + x %
- 5) Payment equal to x % of billed charges
- 6) Carve out for implant associated with specified CPT codes at % of billed charge up to a cap or at cost

## Implant Methodology Review

### Implant Reimbursement Methodologies

- 7) Implants paid at cost up to threshold of xxx \$\$
- 8) Implants with cost above xxx threshold of x \$ paid separately
- 9) Aggregate implants with cost of x paid at cost or at cost + x %
- 10) Payment rates for surgery all inclusive at % of charge up to a cap of x \$\$ (surgery and implants are included in cap)
- 9) Implants will be paid by third party vendor designated by payor
- 10) Global flat rate with implants included in payment rate

*Have you mastered the plan yet?*



## Payor Modifications to Payment Systems

*Elements to watch when payor shifts over to APC's and away from reimbursing implants incrementally...*

- Transitional APC payments vs. Fully Implemented Payments
- Impact of Weights on Global Payment
- Value of surgery rates with and without implants
- Impact on percent of APC rate or CF needed to cover the cost of implants rolled into the surgery rate
- Which APC year is the payor proposing?
- Is Payor using area adjustments?
- When will Payor move to 2011? 2012?

## Shifting Methodologies - APCs without Implants

ACL Example (29888):							
Current Methodology							
	Volume	Surgery Rate - Carve Out	Implant Cost with Screws / Hardware	Allograft w/ Hardware	Total Projected Revenue	Avg NR / Case	
	20	\$3,200	\$800		\$80,000	\$4,000	
	50	\$3,200		\$2,500.0	\$285,000	\$5,700	
Totals	70				\$365,000	\$5,214	
APC Proposal = 160% of ASC APC Rate							
2010 Wgt	Volume	100% of ASC	160% of ASC Rate		Total Projected Revenue	Avg NR / Case	% of Change
	70	\$2,782	\$4,451		\$311,584	\$4,451	
Projected Gain (Loss)					(\$53,416)	(\$76.9)	-15%
2011 Wgt	Volume	100% of ASC	150% of ASC Rate		Total Projected Revenue	Avg NR / Case	% of Change
	70	\$3,448	\$5,172		\$386,170	\$5,517	6%

## Shifting Methodologies - APCs without Implants

ACL Example (29888):					
Financial Impact			Gain (Loss) Total Revenue	Gain (Loss) NR/Case	% of Change
Projected Gain (Loss) All Volume			\$21,176	\$303	6%
Projected Gain (Loss) Implant with Screw / Hardware			\$30,336	\$1,517	38%
Projected Gain (Loss) Implant with Allgraft / Hardware			(\$9,160)	(\$183)	-3%

*What is the strategy for optimizing success in this situation?*

## Contracting Strategy

- Present payor with cost data demonstrating savings

*Will surgeons take cases to the hospital if ASC is unable to reach agreement with Payor to reconcile payment?*

*What will the hospital be paid at 100% of Medicare?*

29888      \$6,129  
 29881      \$2,064 x .50  
 Total =      \$7,161

*How does HOPD rate compare to ASC rate?*

## Contracting Strategy

- Does payor have flexibility with respect to which APC year it can use?
- Understand the variance between transitional and fully implemented weights; may there is opportunity here....
- Show payor variance between ASC methodologies and HOPD rates when advantageous
- Provide physician utilization information ASC vs. Hospital when meaningful savings is evident



## 2011 ASC vs. HOPD Medicare Rates- Examples

2011 ASC vs. HOPD Medicare Rate Comparison					
CPT / HCPCS Code	Short Descriptor	2011 National ASC Rate	2011 National HOPD Rate	Variance	Percent of Change
59881	Knee arthroscopy/surgery	\$ 1,161.03	\$ 2,064.02	\$ 902.99	78%
59827	Arthroscop rotator cuff repr	\$ 1,876.83	\$ 3,336.55	\$ 1,459.72	78%
59888	Knee arthroscopy/surgery	\$ 3,447.64	\$ 6,129.06	\$ 2,681.42	78%
30520	Repair of nasal septum	\$ 993.66	\$ 1,766.48	\$ 772.82	78%
31255	Removal of ethmoid sinus	\$ 1,198.96	\$ 2,131.46	\$ 932.50	78%
31256	Exploration maxillary sinus	\$ 1,198.96	\$ 2,131.46	\$ 932.50	78%
69714	Implant temple bone w/stimul	\$ 7,068.23	\$ 8,596.24	\$ 1,528.01	22%
64483	Inj foramen epidural l/s	\$ 294.00	\$ 522.67	\$ 228.67	78%
62311	Inject spine l/s (cd)	\$ 294.00	\$ 522.67	\$ 228.67	78%
57288	Repair bladder defect	\$ 1,758.70	\$ 3,126.54	\$ 1,367.84	78%
69505	Prosth item int reduc -5 yr	\$ 1,281.90	\$ 2,278.36	\$ 996.77	78%
47562	Laparoscopic cholecystectomy	\$ 1,853.68	\$ 3,295.39	\$ 1,441.71	78%
42826	Removal of tonsils	\$ 993.66	\$ 1,766.48	\$ 772.82	78%
43239	Upper gi endoscopy biopsy	\$ 344.10	\$ 611.73	\$ 267.63	78%
45378	Diagnostic colonoscopy	\$ 361.93	\$ 643.41	\$ 281.48	78%
45380	Colonoscopy and biopsy	\$ 361.93	\$ 643.41	\$ 281.48	78%

## Payor Modifications to Payment Systems

### What else do you need to know?

- Multiple procedure logic  
*Is the Payor following Medicare on an APC system?*
- Prosthetic and implant payment changes
  - Does payor adhere to Medicare policy changes?
  - Does payor follow policy regarding device intensive codes?

## Device Intensive Codes

### Overview:

- CMS assembles cost data from HOPDs annually
- If the cost of a device is greater than 50%; CPT code is classified as "device intensive"
- Percent of total cost attributed to device = APC Device Dependent APC Offset Percentage
- CMS allocates 100% of HOPD allowed cost for device to ASC which is designated as "device related" portion of rate

## Device Intensive Code Examples – ASC Changes

Device Intensive Codes and Cost Allocation							
Nationwide (Unadjusted)							
ASC COVERED SURGICAL PROCEDURES DESIGNATED AS DEVICE-INTENSIVE FOR CY 2011 EXAMPLES							
2011 Q1 Rates							
CY 2011 CPT Code	CY 2011 Short Descriptor	Final CY 2011 Device- Dependent APC Offset Percentage	Subject To Multiple Procedure Discounting	CY2007 National ASC Rate	2011 Q1 National ASC Rate	2011 Q1 Device- Related Portion	2011 Q1 Procedure- Related Portion
24561	Reconstruct elbow joint	59.37%	YES	\$717.00	\$7,068.23	\$5,103.59	\$1,964.64
24566	Reconstruct head of radius	59.37%	YES	\$717.00	\$7,068.23	\$5,103.59	\$1,964.64
24441	Reconstruct wrist joint	59.37%	YES	\$717.00	\$7,068.23	\$5,103.59	\$1,964.64
27446	Revision of knee joint	59.37%	YES	N/A	\$7,068.23	\$5,103.59	\$1,964.64
73212	Insertion of pulse generator	75.92%	YES	\$510.00	\$5,803.96	\$4,801.04	\$1,002.92
34400	Insert semi-rigid prosthesis	61.03%	NO	\$510.00	\$5,852.95	\$4,306.23	\$1,546.72
34401	Insert self-solid prosthesis	71.49%	NO	\$510.00	\$4,017.51	\$8,230.78	\$1,866.53
29872	Cryablate prostate	58.46%	YES	\$1,139.00	\$6,561.39	\$4,687.71	\$1,873.68
63650	Implant neuroelectrodes	57.55%	NO	\$446.00	\$3,707.45	\$2,620.26	\$1,087.19
63655	Implant neuroelectrodes	63.98%	NO	N/A	\$5,223.67	\$3,966.04	\$1,257.63
63685	Insert redo spine n generator	85.62%	NO	\$446.00	\$1,816.04	\$12,623.45	\$1,192.59
64581	Implant neuroelectrodes	63.95%	NO	\$510.00	\$5,223.67	\$3,966.04	\$1,257.63
64582	Insert redo pogo-stimul	85.62%	NO	\$446.00	\$1,816.04	\$12,623.45	\$1,192.59
09714	Implant temple bone w/vital	59.37%	YES	\$1,139.00	\$7,068.23	\$5,103.59	\$1,964.64
69930	Implant cochlear device	85.25%	YES	\$995.00	\$29,056.15	\$26,479.07	\$2,577.08

## Device Intensive Code - Rate Change Example

**Current Contract Structure 63685 with 63650 x 2**

*(Insertion of Spine Generator with Neurostimulator electrodes)*

- Current Methodology – Carve outs with implants paid at cost; multiple procedures paid at 100/50+++
- Current Contract Rates:
  - 63685 \$2,800
  - 63650 \$2,000
- Costs:
  - Spine Generator \$19,500
  - Neurostimulator electrodes \$1,200 per lead

## Device Intensive Code - Rate Change Example

**Proposed Contract Structure:**

- 100% of National Medicare ASC Rates
- Multiple procedure logic to follow Medicare
- Device intensive procedure logic in accordance with Medicare

2011 Medicare ASC National Payment Rates

63685 \$13,816  
Device related portion: \$12,623

63650 \$3,707  
Device related portion: \$2,620

## Device Intensive Code - Rate Change Example

Neurostim / Generator 63685 w/ 63650 x 2						
Current Methodology						
	Volume	Surgery Rate - Carve Out	Multiple Procedure Discount 50%	Implants	Total Projected Revenue / Case	
63685	1	\$2,800	\$2,800	\$18,500		
63650	1	\$2,000	\$1,000	\$1,200		
63650	1	\$2,000	\$1,000	\$1,200		
Totals	2		\$4,800	\$21,900	\$26,700	
APC Rates at 100% of 2011 Medicare ASC (National Rates)						
2011 Wgt	Volume	100% of ASC	Multiple Procedures at 100%	Implants	Total Projected Revenue	Projected Gain (Loss)
63685	1	\$13,816	\$13,816	\$0	\$0	-\$5,684
63650	2	\$3,701	\$7,402			\$1,094
Total			\$17,523		\$17,523	-\$2,670
						-34%
						352%

## Device Intensive Code - Rate Change Example

How much will this case pay in the HOPD under the APC Methodology?

Neurostim / Generator 63685 w/ 63650 x 2 ASC vs. HOPD						
Current Methodology						
	Volume	Surgery Rate - Carve Out	Multiple Procedure Discount 50%	Implants	Total Projected Revenue / Case	
63685	1	\$2,800	\$2,800	\$18,500		
63650	1	\$2,000	\$1,000	\$1,200		
63650	1	\$2,000	\$1,000	\$1,200		
Totals	2		\$4,800	\$21,900	\$26,700	
APC Rates at 100% of 2011 Medicare HOPD (National Rates)						
2011 Wgt	Volume	100% of HOPD	Multiple Procedures at 100%	Implants	Total Projected Revenue	Projected Gain (Loss)
63685	1	\$14,744	\$14,744	\$0	\$0	-\$4,750
63650	2	\$4,503	\$9,006			\$4,750
Total			\$19,297		\$19,297	-\$500
						-28%
						288%

## Contracting Strategy

Challenges:

- HOPD rate below current contract ASC rate
- Device cost allocation used by CMS equitable for ASC vs. hospital
- ASC cost for device greater than device cost allocation
- Commercial payors expecting reductions from ASC due to change in methodology

## Contracting Strategy

### *Strategies to Implement;*

- Focus on maintaining current methodology
- Show payor invoices for implants
- Propose a threshold on the implants

### *Alternatives:*

- Can you carve out the entire case?
- Can the payor have multiple percentages for varied APC groups?

## All Inclusive Payment on Implants

### *When is an all inclusive payment rate be in the best interest of the ASC?*

- When ASC has very competitive cost
- Margins can increase substantially when ASC cost structure is below allowed amounts

### *Negotiation Strategy*

- Identify highest cost cases – this is the risk you must cover!
- Obtain EOBs showing hospital cost is greater to the payor
- Show payors invoice cost and units used for most expensive cases

## All Inclusive Payment on Implants

### *Example – ACL 29888 with Meniscectomy 29881*

- Case cost with screws & hardware = \$800
- Case cost with allograft & hardware = \$2,500
- Weighted Average Implant Cost =

$$(20 \times 800) + (50 \times 2500) / 70 = \$2014$$

All Inclusive Payment on Implants

What should your minimum targeted rate be?

$\$2,500 + \text{Cost of Case at } \$2,000 = \$4,500$

Remember: HOPD Medicare = \$7,161

Rate Target at x% Savings to Hospital Medicare:

<u>Savings</u>	<u>NR/ Case</u>	<u>Operating Margin*</u>
✓ 10%	\$6445	30%
✓ 20%	\$5156	15%

\* Operating margin on highest case cost scenario

All Inclusive Payment on Implants

What should your targeted rate be?

$\$2,500 + \text{Cost of Case at } \$2,000 = \$4,500$

Hospital EOBs show ACL average reimbursement at \$11,500

Rate Target at x% Savings to Hospital Payor Rate:

<u>Savings</u>	<u>NR/ Case</u>	<u>Operating Margin*</u>
✓ 40%	\$6,900	35%
✓ 30%	\$8,050	44%
✓ 20%	\$9,200	51%

\* Operating margin on highest case cost scenario

Opportunities for ASCs

How is understanding the changes in the APC methodology important in contract negotiations?

- Payors are noted for using Medicare as their baseline for argument
- Avoid potential losses on implants
- May present opportunity to restructure contract
- Understand which specific APC year Payor is using and time period for migration
- APCs may present opportunity for lowering vendor cost and increasing margins!

---

## Fee Schedule Implications & Review

### Fee Schedule Implications

---

- Fee schedules are outdated based upon historical payment systems
- Every payor uses a different payment system
- Fee schedules must represent a margin above maximum contract rate
- Payor looks at charges as an indication of rate of payment
- Payor measures savings based upon discount percentages, aka contractual write offs

### Fee Schedule Implications

---

#### *Fee Schedule Analysis*

- Commercial payor contracts and Medicare rules specify payment to be lesser of negotiated rate or 100% of billed charge
- Some payors will assess total billed charges on a claim vs. billed charge at CPT level
- Evaluation of reimbursement methodologies to identify fees falling below maximum reimbursement rate
- CPT by volume by payor as well as multiple procedure logic should be accounted in the evaluation to determine impact

## Fee Schedule Implications

### *Fee Schedule Analysis findings*

- Most centers have opportunity to optimize revenue

### *Why?*

- Fee schedules are often set below new Medicare APC rates as well as commercial payor rates
- Many ASC have not consistently reviewed their fee schedules

## Fee Schedule Implications

### *Why is this occurring?*

- Historical fee schedules based upon multiple of grouper based methodology
- Lack of annual adjustments
- Changing payment systems
- Center Administrator or owners reluctance to modify fee schedule

## Fee Schedule Objectives

- Ensure Center does not have fees that fall below negotiated rates
- Optimize opportunity with revenue resulting from changing payment systems
- Ensure every CPT code that is ASC eligible is evaluated against contract rates and cost
- Consider market conditions in the analysis including HOPD considerations
- Ensure payor recognizes a "discount" off of charges through claims data - critical to negotiations moving forward

## Contract Compliance

## Ensuring Revenue Collection from Contracts



## Contract Compliance

- Payers are minimizing rate increases; some are moving to reduce rates
- Patient responsibility portion is increasing
- Payers trying to lower premiums for employer groups
- Collecting every dollar allowed by the contract requires increasing expertise



## Contract Compliance

**Contract compliance is essential throughout the Revenue Cycle:**

- Accurate up-front collections from patients is crucial
- Pre-price claims and capture estimates of revenue due based upon contract rates

**Up-front collections are critical to your success**

- Higher deductibles and co-insurance amounts mean an increasing emphasis on collecting from patients
- Opportunity for success drops as soon as patient leaves the facility
- Most providers do not have the tools to pre price a claim

## Understanding Payment Exhibits

Review every agreement and ask...



- How is the payor's methodology unique?
- Do I have the mappings to groupers when applicable?
- How will I get paid on implants?
- How will I get paid on multiple procedures?
- What additional documentation is needed to clarify reimbursement?
- Annual updates?

## Compliance Tools

- Accurately determine the expected reimbursement amounts from payors and patients
- Determine whether your billing system has pricing functionality
- Create internal pricing tools for the entire staff to use
- ✓ Schedulers
- ✓ Insurance Verifiers
- ✓ Billers
- ✓ Collectors

## Compliance Tools

---

*Critical Information to Capture:*

- Summarize reimbursement terms for each contracted payor by product
- Worksheets with allowed amount by CPT code
- Multiple procedure reductions – highest allowed amount vs. 1<sup>st</sup> position
- Patient responsibility
- Prosthetic and implant terms

*Ensure implant & prosthetic mark ups are high enough to capture allowed amount from contract*

## Things to Remember...

---

- Align with physician partners to ensure continuity in contracting
- Educate the payor with the help of your physicians
- CMS changes carry over to commercial payors
- Changes in payment methodologies may impact fee schedules
- Product designs shift greater responsibility to patients & increased need for up front collections
- Educate your business office and provide them with the tools to maximize revenue from all payors

---

Q & A